Hermetic Protection of Rings by Ion Beam Sputter Coating with a Broad Beam Ion Source and a W-Shaped Hollow Sputter Target
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Since ion-beam-based methods for materials’ modification are line-of-sight techniques, it is difficult to coat three-dimensional objects completely. Here, a device is described for coating rings by ion beam sputter deposition. A broad-beam ion source is combined with a sputter target consisting of two cones, forming the shape of the letter W in cross-section. With this technique, steel rings were coated with thin aluminium and chromium films. Adhesion measurements at different ring positions showed that the coatings were well adhering. Electrochemical corrosion tests proved that the coating was able to protect the steel ring from aqueous corrosion.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that ion beam based coating techniques, such as ion beam assisted deposition and ion beam sputter coating, offer several advantages such as low process temperature and very good process controllability [1,2]. Due to the energy input into the growing films via the kinetic energy of the ions, usually dense microstructures are obtained without the need of heating the substrates additionally. This is favourable e.g. for temperature-sensitive substrates. However, there is a basic draw-back of ion beam based methods. When a directed beam of ions is used, the process is a line-of-sight technique, i.e. only that part of a sample which is “seen” by the ion beam is treated. For this reason, the coating of three-dimensional objects is difficult. It is particularly problematic to deposit hermetic protective films on three-dimensional objects, such as rings. Often, the samples and/or the ion beam has to be manipulated which makes the process complicated. Therefore, in such cases usually plasma-based methods with their high so-called microthrowing power, i.e. coating “round the corner”, are used. However, there is a possibility to apply ion beam sputtering nevertheless, when the sputter target is shaped according to the substrate to be coated. In the following, a method is described which allows to coat rings completely and thus protect them from corrosion.

2. SET-UP OF SPUTTER-COATING APPARATUS

The apparatus consists of a cubic vacuum chamber, equipped with a turbomolecular pump combined with a rotary fore-pump which generates a base pressure of 10^-7 Pa. Fig. 1 shows a photograph. On top, a holder for substrate and sputter target is mounted. In front, a multi-aperture radiofrequency ion source with a beam aperture of 200 mm diameter, mounted horizontally, is visible. It operates at 13.56 MHz. The maximum acceleration voltage is 10 kV; the available current is several mA. The source is operated with argon gas at a typical chamber gas pressure of 3x10^-3 Pa. There is a movable shutter in front of the ion source.

In contrast to most sputter targets, the present one is not flat, but has a three-dimensional shape. It consists of a concave cone, with a convex cone-tip inside (see schematic presentation in Fig. 2). The cone angles are 45°. The base of the cone tip has a diameter of 100 mm, the outer diameter is 200 mm. In cross-section, the sputter target has the shape of the letter W.

In all positions, the ion beam impinges onto the sputter target at an angle of 45°. It releases atoms in all directions, however, with a preferential forward direction.

Fig. 1: Photograph of ion beam sputter coater with vacuum chamber consisting of a stainless steel cube; the ion source is mounted horizontally; sputter target and sample holder are mounted from top.

 acceleration voltage is 10 kV; the available current is several mA. The source is operated with argon gas at a typical chamber gas pressure of 3x10^-3 Pa. There is a movable shutter in front of the ion source.
The sputter target holder is made of copper. A water cooling pipe is brazed to it. Different materials can be mounted onto it. In the present case, it was Al and Cr, respectively. The substrate is mounted in front of the sputter target. It is shielded from direct ion irradiation by a ring, which is a water cooled pipe. This ring is also the sample holder.

The coating process is carried out in two steps. First, the ring is coated from one side, thereafter it is turned around and then it is coated from the second side.

3. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS
Steel rings with a central diameter of 100 mm and a thickness of 8 mm were mounted on the sample holder ring. A sputter target of an Al sheet (99.9% purity) and another one of stainless steel AISI 304, galvanically coated with 100 µm Cr were used. The steel rings were coated according to the above mentioned 2-step-process. The process time was between 30 minutes and 1 h. The coating thickness was around 0.1 µm for Al and 0.2 µm for the Cr coating. It was estimated from surface profiler (Dektak) measurements at edges of shielded parts.

For coating adhesion testing, rings were cut in 10 segments. Pins were glued to it with epoxy glue (cured at 120 °C for 1 h) and were pulled off perpendicularly (so-called pull off or pin pull test). The pull-off force was recorded.

Elemental depth profiles of positive secondary ions were taken with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) with 2.5 kV O₂ ions for sputtering. Electrochemical corrosion tests (potentiodynamic current-vs-potential plots) [3] were taken in a standard three-electrode set-up with Standard Calomel Electrode (SCE) as reference electrode and a platiniized Titanium plate with a diameter of 100 mm as counter electrode. The corrosive solution was dilute acetic acid concentration 1 N), buffered with Sodium acetate to pH 5.6. The temperature was 25 °C. The rings were contacted with a screw, which was shielded from the corrosive solution with an inert resin in order to avoid contact with it. They were completely immersed in the corrosive solution in a 1L beaker. The potential scan rate was 0.01 V s⁻¹. The system was controlled by a potentiostat which recorded the current response logarithmically.

3. RESULTS
3.1. Element depth profiles
Visual inspection of the coatings and examination under the light microscope gave a uniform appearance with a silver shine both of the Cr and the Al coating.

The deposition of Al and Cr was verified by taking element depth profiles by Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry.

Fig. 3a shows the graph of the Cr coating. Isotopes of Cr in their natural abundance were found. The coating shows a broad transition to the steel substrate. Whether or not the metals were oxidized cannot be decided since according to the standard procedure for measuring depth profiles of metals, oxygen primary ions were taken. However, since the oxygen distribution shows a flat profile with depth, one can assume that the metals were at least not oxidized to a large extent.

A similar result was obtained for the aluminium coatings.

![Fig. 2: Schematic presentation of process with double conical sputter target (W-shaped in cross-section) showing the direction of sputtered atoms](image)

![Fig. 3: SIMS element profiles of ion beam sputter coatings on steel ring substrate, a) chromium, b) aluminium](image)
3.3. Corrosion test results

The samples were completely immersed in buffered acetic acid. In this medium, the steel corrodes due to anodic dissolution of iron. The cathodic counter reaction is hydrogen evolution when hydrated protons from the acid are discharged and form molecular hydrogen:

\[
\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{++} + 2e^- \\
2\text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

The corrosion can be prevented when the contact between sample and corrosive medium is prevented by coating. Materials which do not corrode in this medium can be used as protective film. Chromium and aluminium are protected by a dense natural non-corrosive oxide film. Thus, they can serve as protective coating.

In order to evaluate the corrosion performance, voltammetric measurements were carried out. The samples were immersed into the electrolyte and kept at open circuit potential for 30 min, then the potential scan was started from there. In order to obtain a representative information on the corrosion performance, the samples were completely measured. Several rings were tested.

Fig. 4 shows the current-vs-potential plots of uncoated steel and several Al ion beam sputter (IBS) coated rings.

4. DISCUSSION

In contrast to deposition of protective films by e.g. electron beam evaporation, ion beam sputter deposition leads to atomic species with higher kinetic energy. In comparison, this results in favourable features such as good adhesion, high structural density, and purity. These are due to the energy of the deposited surface atoms, the so-called ad-atoms, which are able to move on the substrate surface and arrange themselves to dense structures. In contrast, in electron beam evaporation onto a substrate at room temperature, the ad-atoms can hardly move. They stay at the place of deposition, and micropores and overhanging structures may result.

In the presented set-up, the ion incidence angle is 45°, leading to an enhanced sputter coefficient. A part of the incident ions is reflected. However, they are not lost, since their majority hits the opposite face of the sputter target where they again increase the sputter rate. A small part of ions, impinging at the outer edge of the sputter target, may even hit the sample, leading to a further energy input and to ion beam induced effects such as ion beam mixing.

Table II shows in comparison the influence of ion energy and ion incidence angle on sputter coefficient and ion reflection; the ion energy was 10 keV. The data were calculated with SRIM using the calculation mode for sputter coefficient, based on full cascade calculation [4,5].
Under the present conditions with an incidence angle of 45° the calculated sputter coefficient is around 6, i.e. in average each Ar ion generates 6 Al atoms to be deposited. Almost 3% of the ions are reflected. By changing the angle of the sputter target, i.e. making the W more or less flat, the sputter coefficient can be varied between 3 and 11, when ion incidence angles between 30° and 60°, with respect to the surface normal, are chosen. Similarly, the percentage of reflected ions can be varied between <1% and almost 10%. Therefore, further studies should be focused on the shape of the sputter target. With the incidence angle via the sputter target shape, deposition rate and assisted ion irradiation can be varied. These parameters may influence the microstructure of the coating.

Table II: Sputtering coefficient and reflection yield (percentage of reflected or backscattered ions) of 10 keV Ar ions, impinging on an Al target, for different ion incidence angles; calculated with SRIM [5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence angle [°]</th>
<th>Sputtering coefficient</th>
<th>Reflection yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another parameter is the ion acceleration voltage, defining the kinetic ion energy for a given charge state. Technically, the extraction voltage often is correlated to the ion beam density. Both together determine the power input into the sputter target and, as a consequence, the heating. Table III shows the sputtering coefficient and the reflection yield for ion energies between 5 keV and 20 keV. The calculation shows that the ion energy in the observed range has only a minor influence on sputtering yield and reflection yield. Therefore, it is expected that the ion source can be operated over a comparatively wide acceleration range without major influence. This has, however, to be tested with experiments.

Table III: Sputtering coefficient and reflection yield of an Al target with Ar ions impinging at 45° for different ion energies, calculated with SRIM [5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion Energy [keV]</th>
<th>Sputtering coefficient</th>
<th>Reflection yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from ion beam parameters, further studies may deal with sputter targets consisting of two or more elements, giving alloy coatings. This is interesting for an enhanced corrosion protection performance. For example, He at al. combined Al with Zn for an enhanced corrosion resistance of carbon steel [6]. Baldwin et al. fabricated an alloy of Al and Mg for coating steel [7]. Creus et al. combined Al and Cr and magnetron sputtered an amorphous coating onto mild steel for corrosion protection [8]. Another approach by the same group is the combination of Al and Ti in cathodic arc PVD for steel in a multilayer method where the columnar structure of Al is disrupted by the Ti layer, thus leading to better corrosion resistance [9]. In the present set-up, one could either use an alloy sputter target, or a segmented sputter target consisting of different metals for forming an alloy coating. For a multilayer coating, however, a change in sputter target would be required. In earlier work, similar techniques have been used to coat other three-dimensional objects, including the inner walls of cylinders and tubes [10,11,12]. In this case, the sputter target, either a cone or a rotating ellipse, had been introduced into the hollow sample, giving uniform coatings for corrosion or wear protection. These examples show that ion beam techniques have the potential for treating non-flat samples successfully.

5. SUMMARY

It has been demonstrated that ion beam sputter coating is a reasonable alternative to other PVD coating methods, even for three-dimensional objects, such as rings, if a designated sputter target with a substrate-adapted form is used. Coating adhesion and electrochemical corrosion measurements showed that a very good corrosion protection performance over the whole ring sample can be obtained. Apparently, an enhanced substrate temperature is not required, in contrast to sputter coating techniques which usually do not give dense coating structures at low substrate temperature.
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