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SUMMARY A Balanced Neighborhood Classifier (BNEC) is proposed for class imbalanced data. This method is not only well positioned to capture the class distribution information, but also has the good merits of high-fitting-performance and simplicity. Experiments on both synthetic and real data sets show its effectiveness.
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1. Introduction

The class imbalance problem is currently an active research subject and increasingly attracting attention in the machine learning and pattern recognition area, because this problem is common in many applications [1]. The data set is said to present a class imbalance when one of the classes (the minority one) is heavily under-represented in comparison to the other classes (the majority ones). This problem is particularly important, since this imbalance causes suboptimal classification performances, especially when the cost of misclassifying a minority-class example is substantial. Existing approaches to solving the class imbalance problem mainly include data level and algorithmic level methods. Here we focus on binary classification only and study the improved neighborhood classifiers methods at the algorithmic level. In this paper, we present an improved neighborhood classifier, Balanced Neighborhood classifier (BNEC), for the classification problem with class imbalanced data. When the training set is skewed, the popular K-nearest neighbor (KNN) classifier [2] and neighborhood classifier (NEC) [3]–[5] will mislabel instances in rare categories into common ones which degrades the classification performance. Ignoring class distribution information, the performance of both classifiers is weakened by the simple majority voting method. Considering the proximity and spatial distribution of neighbors of the neighborhood, we introduce a local mean distance for each class in the BNEC to make decision.

This method is not only well positioned to capture the class distribution information, but also inherit the merits of neighborhood classifier, which has been shown to be a powerful tool for attribute reduction, feature selection, rule extraction and reasoning with uncertainty. Since the data sets in real world applications are always class imbalanced, this method is more appropriate for recognizing the minority samples. Experiments on real and synthetic data sets show that our proposed method performs well in terms of the AUC metric.

2. Related Work

The KNN first introduced by Fix and Hodges [6], has high classification accuracy on data with unknown distributions and has wide applications. Therefore, it has recently been recognized as one of top 10 algorithms in data mining [2]. Let \( L = \{x_n \in \mathbb{R}^m\}_{n=1}^N \) be a training data set of given \( m \)-dimensional feature space, where \( N \) is the total number of training samples, and \( y_n \in \{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_M\} \) denotes the class label for \( x_n \). This method predicts an instance \( x \), by its \( K \) nearest neighbors in the training set, based on the majority rule as follows.

\[
c(x) = \arg \max_{c \in C} \sum_{x_j \in X_{KNN}} I(y_i = c)
\]

where \( I(x = y) = 1 \) when \( x = y \); and zero otherwise. Assume that the \( k \)-th nearest neighbor is \( x_k \), then

\[
X_{KNN} = \{x_j| x_j \in L, d(x, x_j) \leq d(x, x_k)\}
\]

When \( K = 1 \), KNN has the special form 1-NN rule, which is bounded by twice the Bayes error rate [7].

Recently, another classification technique based on local information, neighborhood classifier (NEC) has been proposed by Hu et al. [3]. They introduced the neighborhoods and neighborhood relations concepts in topology to build a uniform theoretic framework for neighborhood-based classifiers. They showed the NEC outperforms KNN algorithm. The NEC is proposed for pattern classification as follows.

\[
c(x) = \arg \max_{c \in C} \sum_{x_j \in X_{NEC}} I(y_i = c)
\]

\[
X_{NEC} = \{x_j| x_j \in L, d(x, x_j) \leq \delta\}
\]

Where \( \delta = d_1 + w^*(d_m - d_1) \), \( d_m \) is the maximum distance, and \( d_1 \) is the minimum distance. The threshold \( \delta \) varies with the value \( w \), which is dynamically assigned based on the local and global information around \( x \).
3. Handling Imbalance Data

Since the number of the majority is much more than the minority in class imbalanced data sets, we do not use the number of the neighbors of each class to classify the query pattern. We propose a Balanced Neighborhood Classifier (BNEC), using the mean distances of the classes to handle class imbalance problem in NEC, as shown in Fig. 1. Firstly, we collect \( X_{i \text{NEC}}^j \), the neighbors of \( x \) in class \( c_i \).

\[
X_{i \text{NEC}}^j = \{ x_j | x_j \in L^i, d(x, x_j) \leq \delta^i \} \tag{5}
\]

Where \( L^i = \{ x_j | x_j \in R^m \} \) denotes all the samples of class \( c_i \), and \( N_i \) denotes the number of samples in subset \( L^i \). Comparing with NEC, we should calculate threshold \( \delta^i \) for each class in BNEC as follows.

\[
\delta^i = d^i_m + w \times (d^i_a - d^i_1) \tag{6}
\]

Where \( d^i_m \) and \( d^i_a \) are the maximum distance and the minimum distance corresponding to class \( i \) respectively. Then, we calculate the local mean distance for each class.

\[
D^i_{\text{NEC}} = \frac{1}{|X^i_{\text{NEC}}|} \sum_{x_j \in X^i_{\text{NEC}}} d(x, x_j) \tag{7}
\]

Where \(|X^i_{\text{NEC}}|\) is the number of samples in subset \( X^i_{\text{NEC}} \). Finally, we assign \( x \) to the class \( c \) if the local mean distance of class \( c \) is minimum.

\[
c(x) = \arg \min D^i_{\text{NEC}} \tag{8}
\]

Algorithm 1 presents the pseudo-code of the BNEC classifier.

4. Experiments

In order to investigate the performances of our BNEC algorithm, we compare it with KNN (\( K = 10 \) as [3]) and NEC.

\begin{algorithm}
\caption{Balanced Neighborhood classifier (BNEC).}
\begin{algorithmic}
\Require
The set of labelled samples, \( L \);
The set of unlabelled samples, \( U \);
\Ensure
1: Calculate the distance of the test instance \( x \in U \) from all training instances \( x_j \in L \) using selected distance metric function, \( d(x_j, x) = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(x_j, a_i) - f(x, a_i)|^{1/2}) \);
2: Compute maximum distance \( d^i_m \) and minimum distance \( d^i_a \) of each class;
3: Collecting instances in the neighbourhood of \( x \) using Eq. (5);
4: Compute threshold \( \delta^i \) for each class using Eq. (6);
5: Calculate the local mean distances of each class using Eq. (7);
6: Assign \( x \) to the class \( c \) using Eq. (8);
\end{algorithmic}
\end{algorithm}

\( f(x, a_i) \) denotes the value of \( i \)-th attribute \( a_i \) of the sample \( x \).

Three metric functions \( d_1, d_2, d_\infty \) are used here.

\[
d_1(x_j, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_j, a_i) - f(x, a_i)| \tag{9}
\]

\[
d_2(x_j, x) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_j, a_i) - f(x, a_i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{10}
\]

\[
d_\infty(x_j, x) = \max_{i=1}^{n} (|f(x_j, a_i) - f(x, a_i)|) \tag{11}
\]

4.1 Data Set

Since the number of the available samples of each class and the the number of dimensions can be easily controlled, the artificial data sets, Ness [8] data sets are used in our experiments. These synthetic data sets are follow normal distributions (p-dimension), which are widely used model for the pattern recognition.

\[
u_1 = 0, u_2 = [\theta/2, 0, \ldots, 0, \theta/2]^T \tag{12}
\]

\[
\Sigma_1 = I_p, \Sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_{p/2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{p/2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}
\]

where \( I_p \) denotes the \( p \times p \) identity matrix, \( u_i \) is the mean vector and \( \Sigma_i \) is the covariance matrix from class \( c_i \). The values of \( p \) and \( \theta \) can be controlled, and \( \theta \) is set to be 2, 4 and 6 in our experiments, as shown in Fig. 2. The imbalance radio is set to be 0.1. The real benchmark data sets in our experiments come from UCI repository [9], which are highly class imbalanced data. Imbalance ratio is the size of minority class divided by that of majority class. Here, each class is used as minority class, and all others are used as majority class. MovementLibras contains 90 attributes, 15 classes of 24 instances each. HayesRoth includes 160 instances, which are described by 9 attributes. Hepatitis contains 20 attributes, 155 instances. Spectrometer contains 102 attributes, 531 instances. The imbalance ratio of these data sets are 7.14%, 8.4%, 26%, and 9.26%, respectively.

4.2 Results

In order to obtain reliable classification, the trials on each
model are performed 10 × 5-fold cross validation. We calculate the AUC values for KNN, NEC, and BNEC, with increasing the dimensionality on each synthetic data set, as shown in Fig. 3. It is interesting to note that the AUC values of all classifiers always monotonically decrease as the dimensionality increases, and the better performance of each method is usually obtain at small dimensional value.

Table 1 shows the results ($\omega = 0.1$ and $\delta = 0.125$ as in [3]) of the average AUC performances based on KNN, NEC, and BNEC with different norms in 1-norm, 2-norm and infinite-norm, respectively. Considering the three metric functions, BNEC has advantages of the stable performance. Especially for 1-norm, the AUC values of the BNEC with 1-norm metric functions are above 0.8, which is better than that of other two methods on all data sets. Movement_libras is high-dimensional and has relatively small sample sizes. The complexity of typical pattern discovery methods makes this problem challenging. This implies that the problem is that sample size of each class is lower than the feature size. The KNN has bad performance on these data sets. Even on this data set, BNEC has good performances.

In order to conduct more in-depth investigation on the performance of our method, we also change the size of the neighborhood in the experiment to find the optimal parameter $w$. In Fig. 4, all AUC values of the performances are recorded when the parameter $w$ is gradually increased from 0 to 0.6 with step 0.02. Here we can find that there are similar trends in these four curves: Good generalization performance of the proposed parameter selection is less than 0.1. We should choose suitable parameters to train, since it shows a downward trend when the size of the neighborhood is increasing. Compared with the state-of-the-art classifiers for handling imbalanced data, we can see that BNEC is superior to Adaboosting[1], [10] and AdaCost[11] in the aspect of AUC, as shown in Fig. 5.
5. Conclusion

The proposed Balanced Neighborhood Classifier, which uses the samples in the neighborhood to estimate the local class probability density of the test samples, has the good merits of high-fitting-performance and simplicity. In order to well study the performance of the proposed classifier, experiments were carried out on the real and synthetic data sets, in comparisons with KNN and NEC. The comprehensive comparisons suggest that the proposed classifier has the following strengths: (a) It provides an alternative approach for pattern classification, especially for the class imbalanced data sets. (b) It is more robust than KNN and NEC. Consequently, we can draw a sound conclusion that the proposed classifier is a promising algorithm in the field of pattern classification.
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