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Chlorine Dioxide is a Better Disinfectant than Sodium Hypochlorite against Multi-Drug Resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter baumannii
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SUMMARY: In this study, we evaluated and compared the antibacterial activity of chlorine dioxide (ClO2) and sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) on various multidrug-resistant strains in the presence of bovine serum albumin and sheep erythrocytes to mimic the blood contamination that frequently occurs in the clinical setting. The 3 most important species that cause nosocomial infections, i.e., methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MDRP), and multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (MDRA), were evaluated, with three representative strains of each. At a 10-ppm concentration, ClO2 drastically reduced the number of bacteria of all MDRP and MDRA strains, and 2 out of 3 MRSA strains. However, 10 ppm of NaClO did not significantly kill any of the 9 strains tested in 60 seconds (s). In addition, 100 ppm of ClO2 completely killed all MRSA strains, whereas 100 ppm of NaClO failed to significantly lower the number of 2 MRSA strains and 1 MDRA strain. A time-course experiment demonstrated that, within 15 s, 100 ppm of ClO2, but not 100 ppm of NaClO, completely killed all tested strains. Taken together, these data suggest that ClO2 is more effective than NaClO against MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA, and 100 ppm is an effective concentration against these multidrug-resistant strains, which cause fatal nosocomial infections.

INTRODUCTION

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial strains have been increasingly recognized as a serious problem in clinical settings (1–4). Among the resistant strains, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MDRP), and multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (MDRA) are the leading causes of hospital-borne infections, which are often fatal to immunocompromised patients. The treatment of patients infected with these MDR strains is inadequate because of the limited options of antimicrobial agents. In addition, the MDR strains found in the hospital environment can infect patients through medical and surgical instruments. Therefore, it is extremely important to eliminate MDR strains from these instruments by using highly efficient disinfectants.

Sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) is one of the most widely used disinfectants. However, it has a strong irritating odor and has to be used in liquid form. In addition, NaClO is easily inactivated in the presence of biological materials such as blood cells and plasma proteins. In comparison, chlorine dioxide (ClO2) is a water-soluble and yellow gas with a strong oxidizing activity (5,6). Earlier studies have observed that ClO2 has a potent antimicrobial activity against bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses (7–11). This chemical agent has been also utilized for the disinfection of supplied water in European countries (maximum 0.5 ppm) and the United States (maximum 0.8 ppm) because of its low production of trihalomethane compounds (12). However, there is limited data on whether ClO2 has a strong antimicrobial activity against MDR strains, including MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA.

Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate and compare the antibacterial activity of ClO2 and NaClO against the most clinically important MDR strains i.e., MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA, in the presence of biological materials comparable to contaminated blood and serum proteins, which interfere with antimicrobial activity in the clinical setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents, strains, and culture media: Chlorine dioxide (ClO2; Cleverin L) was obtained from Taiko Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), and sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) and sodium thiosulfate (Na2S2O3) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). The concentrations of NaClO and ClO2 were estimated using an iodometric method (13) and spectrophotometric method (14), respectively. Defibrinated sheep blood was obtained from Nippon Bio-Supp. Center (Tokyo, Japan). Tryptone was purchased from Becton Dickinson (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Sodium chloride was purchased from Nacalai
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Table 1. Bacterial strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial species</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>MDR pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>3146529</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>MIPPC, CEZ, CMZ, IPM, GM, EM, CLDM, MINO, LVFX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3514346</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>MIPPC, CEZ, CMZ, IPM, GM, EM, CLDM, MINO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0180900</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>MIPPC, CEZ, CMZ, EM, LVFX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>61406</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>CEZ, CTA, CFDN, CTRX, CFPM, MEM, AMK, DOXY, ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGTPA2</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>ABPC, CAZ, IPM, SM, KM, NFXL, CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGTPA4</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>ABPC, CAZ, IPM, SM, KM, NFXL, CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acinetobacter baumannii</em></td>
<td>ATCC1605</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>TIPC, PIPC, AZT, CAZ, CFPM, IPM, MEM, GM, CFPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGTB8</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>ABPC, SM, NFXL, CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGTB11</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>ABPC, SM, NFXL, CM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 ppm of NaOCl did not significantly kill any of the MRSA strains tested. With regard to MDRP, even 10 ppm of ClO2 completely killed (below the detection limit) all the tested strains (Fig. 1B). With regard to MDRA, 10 ppm of ClO2 drastically reduced the number of all the strains tested whereas 100 ppm of ClO2 completely killed (below the detection limit) these strains as shown in Fig. 1C. By contrast, 10 ppm of NaOCl did not significantly reduce the number of any MDRP and MDPA strains tested, although 100 ppm of NaOCl significantly reduced the number of all MDRP strains tested and 2 out of 3 MDRA strains (Fig. 1B and 1C). Therefore, ClO2 may be considered as a more potent disinfectant than NaOCl for the MDR strains evaluated.

Finally, we performed a time-course assay to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of 2 different concentrations (10 ppm and 100 ppm) of ClO2 against MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA. When a representative MRSA strain (strain 3146529) was evaluated, 10 ppm or even 100 ppm of NaOCl did not decrease its number after a 120-s incubation whereas 10 ppm of ClO2 caused a 2-log reduction in the bacterial number, and 100 ppm of ClO2 completely killed (below detection limit) even after a 15-s incubation (Fig. 2A). Similarly, 10 ppm and 100 ppm of ClO2 killed all of the bacteria (approximately 10^5 cfu) of a representative MDRP strain (NGTPA4) after a 30- and 15-s incubation, respectively (Fig. 2B). By contrast, 10 ppm of NaOCl did not significantly decrease the number of MDRP strain NGTPA4, although 100 ppm of NaOCl reduced the number of bacteria significantly (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, 100 ppm of ClO2 significantly reduced the number of representative MDRA strain (ATCC1605) after a 15-s incubation (Fig. 2C). 10 ppm of ClO2 decreased the number of bacteria in a time-dependent manner and killed all the treated cells (below the detection limit) after a 120-s incubation. However, although 100 ppm of NaOCl reduced a number (1 log) of this MDRA strain after a 120-s incubation, a 10-ppm concentration of this disinfectant was incapable to cause a remarkable reduction in this number.

Taken together, these data suggest that ClO2 is a more effective bactericidal agent compared with NaOCl, particularly against MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA, which are the most important bacterial pathogens associated with
Fig. 1. Disinfectant activity of ClO₂ and NaClO against *S. aureus* (A), *P. aeruginosa* (B), and *A. baumannii* (C). Three strains each of bacteria were treated with the disinfectants for 60 sec at room temperature. Distilled water (☐); 10 ppm ClO₂ (☐); 100 ppm ClO₂ (☐); 10 ppm NaClO (☐); 100 ppm NaClO (☐). Values are given in mean log₁₀ cfu/mL (n = 3). In all cases, dashed lines indicate the limit of detection, and error bars indicate standard deviations. The bars denoted with asterisks represent significant differences from negative controls (*, P < 0.05 and **, P < 0.01).

Fig. 2. Time course study for the disinfectant activity of various concentrations of ClO₂ and NaClO against *S. aureus* strain 3146529 (A), *P. aeruginosa* strain NGTPA4 (B) and *A. baumannii* strain ATCC1605 (C). Cells were treated with 10 ppm (triangle symbols, dotted line) and 100 ppm (circle symbols, solid line) of ClO₂ (open symbols) and NaClO (closed symbols), respectively. Aliquots of samples were collected at 15, 30, 60, 120 sec at room temperature. Distilled water was used as negative control (open squares). Values are given in mean log₁₀ cfu/mL (n = 3). In all cases, dashed lines indicate the limit of detection, and error bars indicate standard deviations.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, it was clearly demonstrated that ClO₂ was more effective than NaClO in significantly reducing the number of colonies of MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA. Accordingly, 100 ppm of ClO₂, but not 100 ppm of NaClO, was sufficient to kill all the 9 MDR strains tested, including 3 each of MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA. The higher potential of ClO₂ as a disinfectant compared with NaClO was also reflected when a 10-fold lower concentration (10 ppm) of ClO₂ was used and drastically reduced the number of all MDRP and MDRA strains, and most of the MRSA strains tested. Furthermore, 10 ppm of ClO₂ killed all the MDR strains tested in the absence of organic compounds such as blood (data not shown). However, 10 ppm of NaClO did not significantly reduce the number of any MDR strain tested in this manner. Together, these data suggest that 100 ppm of ClO₂ can be used as a disinfectant against these MDR strains in the presence of organic compounds, and 10 ppm may be sufficient in the absence of organic compounds. Appropriate disinfection...
and sterilization procedures are required for the control of hospital-acquired infections, which often lead to fatal cases due to opportunistic infections with MDR strains, particularly MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA. The difficulty in effectively treating infections due to highly resistant \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}, \( S. \) \textit{aureus}, and \( A. \) \textit{baumannii} is a serious clinical problem (15). The infection routes of these pathogenic bacteria are usually through contact with infected humans and instruments, including life-supporting ventilators. Therefore, it is vital to maintain a proper sanitary environment in hospitals, particularly in intensive care units. The present study supports the hypothesis that \( \text{Cl}_2 \) may be a superior disinfectant for large-scale usage in clinical facilities.

Among the several disinfectants used in hospitals, \( \text{NaClO} \) is often used and recommended for disinfection. However, the use of \( \text{NaClO} \) brings several disadvantages including its irritating and toxic effects and efficacy in a limited pH range. In contrast, \( \text{Cl}_2 \), an efficient disinfectant and is less toxic, less irritant, effective in a wide pH range, can be used as both liquid and gas (16), and produces fewer trihalomethane compounds (12). It has been demonstrated that the mode of action of \( \text{Cl}_2 \) is via protein denaturation and involves the covalent oxidative modification of tryptophan and tyrosine residues (6). However, until date, little effort has been devoted to evaluating the efficacy of \( \text{Cl}_2 \), as a disinfectant on MDR strains including \( P. \) \textit{aeruginosa}, \( S. \) \textit{aureus}, and \( A. \) \textit{baumannii}. In addition, clinical settings are often contaminated with blood and other biological substances, and disinfectants are usually inactivated by biological substances such as proteins and fatty acids. Therefore, in this study, a comparative evaluation of the effects of \( \text{Cl}_2 \) and \( \text{NaClO} \) on MDR strains was conducted in the presence of BSA and SE to mimic the clinical setting. Our pioneering study showed that \( \text{Cl}_2 \) was highly effective and better than \( \text{NaClO} \) in killing MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA within 15 s, even in the presence of BSA and SE, when a concentration of 100 ppm was used.

In conclusion, \( \text{Cl}_2 \) has a more potent antimicrobial activity than \( \text{NaClO} \) against MDR strains. Because \( \text{Cl}_2 \) is less irritating and less toxic than \( \text{NaClO} \), it can be a more suitable and effective disinfecting agent against MDR strains such as MRSA, MDRP, and MDRA, which cause fatal opportunistic infections in hundreds of thousands of hospitals throughout the world, including advanced medical centers in developed countries.
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